Request	Position
Introduction of a new train between Bombay and Rajkot.	At present three pairs of trains, namely, 9005/9006, 9015/9016 and 9017/9018 are available between Rajkot and Bombay. Introduction of a new train between Rajkot and Bombay has been found to be neither commercially justified nor operationally feasible.
Creation of a new Railway Division at Ahmedabad.	Gujarat is already being served by Vadodara, Rajkot and Bhavnagar Divisions and partially by Ajmer and Bombay Divisions of Western Railway. In addition, an Area Manager (in Senior Administrative Grade) is also functioning at Ahmedabad. As already announced in the Railway Budget 1994-95, a detailed study with the objective of rationalising the geographical jurisdiction of the existing Zones and Divisions will be undertaken. Action will be taken to create new Zones and Divisions once the study is completed.

(c) An outlay of Rs. 95 crores has been proposed in the Railway Budget for 1994-95 for Phulera-Marwar-Ahmedabad (*partly in Gujarat*) and Rajkot-Veraval gauge conversion projects. In addition, an outlay of Rs. 4.885 crores has been proposed for passenger amenities and other users' amenities works on Western Railway, which also includes the State of Gujarat.

(d) Rajkot-Veraval gauge conversion would be completed in the IX Plan period.

Agreement by M/s. Hindustan Petroleum in Gwalior

*259. SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state:

(a) whether any agreement was signed by M/s Hindustan Petroleum with landlord in respect of Motel and Petrol Pump in Moti Jheel, Gwalior, if so, the year in which it was signed and the year in which it expired;

(b) Whether Hindustan Petroleum Limited approached the landlord for renewal of the agreement after its expiry; if so, when; and if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether any rent was paid to the landlord since 1986; if so, the amount thereof; and if not, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether the landlord has sent any representation to Hindustan Petroleum Limited regarding vacation of land of Motel and has offered to reach an agreement; if so, the details of the offer made and action taken thereon; and

(e) if no action has been taken what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (CAPTAIN SATISH SHARMA): (a) The erstwhile ESSO, the Predecessor Company of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (HPCL), had entered into a lease agreement with Smt. Bhagwan Devi Sharma for a retail outlet site effective May, 1962 and with Smt. Prakashwati Sharma for an adjoining Motel site effective April, 1965. These agreements expired in March, 1976.

(b) and (c) Inspite of lessors being approached by and the efforts of HPCL, the leases could not be renewed further, but rentals were accepted by the lessors upto April, 1986. Rentals for the subsequent period have been deposited by HPCL with the Sessions Court owing to the refusal of the lessors to accept the same.

(d) and (e) A representation has been received for vacation of the site by HPCL. A Civil suit has also been filed against HPCL in the Civil Court of Law Gwalior, for eviction, and is, thus, subjudice.